



## Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact [support@jstor.org](mailto:support@jstor.org).

THE  
AMERICAN LAW REGISTER.

**VOL. IX.**

FROM NOVEMBER 1860 TO NOVEMBER 1861.

---

**EDITORS:**

ASA I. FISH AND HENRY WHARTON.

---

PHILADELPHIA:

D. B. CANFIELD & CO. GOLDSMITHS HALL, LIBRARY STREET.

---

1861.

---

Crissy & Markley, Printers,  
Goldsmiths Hall, Library Street.

---

# TABLE

OF

## LEADING ARTICLES, ETC.

---

Collateral Consanguinity, - - - - -	22
Doctrine of Negligence, - - - - -	129
Equity—Essay upon the Jurisdiction assumed by Courts of Equity upon the ground of accident, - - - - -	449
Habeas Corpus, Review of Ex-parte Merriman, - - -	705
Husband and Wife, - - - - -	193, 257
Menu, Laws of, - - - - -	717
“My Recollections of the Circuit.” By Sir John Taylor Cole- ridge, - - - - -	257
Negligence, - - - - -	129
Power of the husband over the choses in action of the wife. First Article, - - - - -	193
Power of the husband over the choses in action of the wife. Second Article, - - - - -	385
Privileged Debts, - - - - -	513
Recoupment, - - - - -	321
Remarkable Criminal Trial—The Watchman of Eldagsen, -	1
Will—What is the effect of the probate of a will in one State, when it is offered for allowance and probate in another? Does it bind immovable property beyond the territorial jurisdiction of the foreign court? Or does it leave the questions of capa- city and execution open to contest in the domestic tribu- nals? First Article, - - - - -	577
Will—The effect of the probate of a will in one State, when offered for allowance and probate in another; does it bind immovable property beyond the territorial jurisdiction of the foreign court? Or does it leave the questions of capa- city and execution open to contest in the domestic tribu- nals? Second Article, - - - - -	641

## NOTES OF RECENT ENGLISH CASES.

Constructive delivery— <i>Winter vs. Winter</i> ,	-	-	-	703
Maritime law—Rule of the sea— <i>Wiley vs. Crawford</i> ,	-	-	-	703
Principal and agent— <i>Udell vs. Atherton and another</i> ,	-	-	-	703
Salvage— <i>Ward vs. Mac Corkill</i> ,	-	-	-	704
Trade marks— <i>Dent vs. Turpin</i> ,	-	-	-	703

## LEGAL MISCELLANY.

Martial Law,	-	-	-	-	-	498
--------------	---	---	---	---	---	-----

## NOTICES OF NEW BOOKS.

Austin's Province of Jurisprudence Determined. (Second edition,)	511
Bateman's General Commercial Law,	191
Beasley's New Jersey Chancery Reports, Vol. 1,	574
Bell's Commentaries on Commercial Law,	315
Casey's Pennsylvania's State Reports, Vol. 12,	317
Grant's Cases, Vol. 2,	317
Gray's Massachusetts Reports, Vol. 8,	575
Head's Tennessee Reports, Vol. 2,	320
Maine's Ancient Law,	633
Morris' Mining Rights in Pennsylvania,	318
Sharswood's Professional Ethics,	382
Sprague's Reports,	570
Story's Equity Jurisprudence, (eighth edition,) by Redfield,	638
Tillinghast and Shearman's New York Practice under the Code,	384
United States Digest, Vol. 13,	128
Van Heythuysen's Equity Draftsman,	448
Walker's Introduction to American Law. (Fourth edition,)	128
Wharton & Stillé's Medical Jurisprudence. (Second edition,)	125
Wharton's Law Lexicon,	127
Wharton's Criminal Law. (Fifth edition,)	444
Withrow's Iowa Reports, Vol. 1,	318
Withrow's Reports, Vol. 2,	446
Wright's Pennsylvania State Reports, Vol. 1,	572

## TABLE OF CASES.

### A.

Administrators of Harris, Hutma- cher vs. - - - -	410
Allegheny City, Amey vs. - - -	338
Amey vs. The Mayor, Aldermen, and Citizens of Allegheny City— City bonds—Special legislation— Justification of the issue of bonds —Validity of bonds and coupons —Legislative authority to City to incur debt by subscription to rail- road—Charter of Allegheny City. Under the Constitution of Penn- sylvania, a city may subscribe to railroads. Opinion per WAYNE, J.	338
Anglo-Australian Insurance Com- pany, Horne vs. - - - -	496
Arnold, Chauncy vs. - - - -	608
Ashmore and Laylor vs. Pennsylva- nia Steam Towing and Transpor- tation Company—Common carrier —Steam tug—Towing company— Towing contract—Special con- tract between carrier and bailor —Limitation of contract—Ser- vants' negligence—Contract by steam tug to tow boat—Ordinary care in navigation—Want of skill. Opinion per WELPLEY, J. - - -	721
Per VANDYKE, J. - - - -	726
Aspinwall, Commissioners of Knox County vs. - - - -	347
Atlantic Bank vs. Merchant's Bank —Action of assumpsit for money had and received, when it can be maintained—Conspiracy entered	

into between A, B, and C, whereby  
a check is marked "good," and  
thereupon funds transferred from  
the vaults of one bank to the  
vaults of another, without the  
knowledge of either bank, such  
fraudulent transfer is not a pay-  
ment of money from one party to  
another, and as between the banks  
themselves, cannot be so treated  
—In order to make a payment by  
the transfer of a sum of money  
from one party to another, the  
parties must so intend the trans-  
action. Opinion per SHAW, CH. J.

### B.

Bank of Commerce, Com. vs. - - -	379
Bank of Penn Township, Girard Bank vs. - - - -	620
Brady vs. Todd—Master and Ser- vant—Warranty on sale of horse by servant without authority of master—Servant of private per- son cannot bind his master by an unauthorized warranty—Other- wise as to servant of horse dealer. Opinion per WILLIAMS, J. - - -	492
Bronson and others vs. The La Crosse and Milwaukee Railroad Company—Equity—Practice— Examination of witnesses in open court—Examination of witnesses by commission—Interrogatories— Waiver of Interrogatories—The	

Act of 1789 regulates the practice as to taking depositions before master, unless State legislation has specially regulated it. Opinion per MILLER, J. - - - 350  
 Bugbee, Felch vs. - - - 104

## C.

Chamberlain et al, Ward et al vs. - 171  
 Chapman and Company vs. Deve-  
 reux & Noyes. — Partnership—  
 Selling for Cash—Purchase of  
 goods on credit—Violation of ex-  
 press terms of partnership—When  
 one partner cannot be held respon-  
 sible for purchases made by the  
 other—Individual liability of part-  
 ners—Contracts made without the  
 scope of the partnership—Joint  
 interest of partners—Partnership  
 defined. Opinion per REDFIELD,  
 C. J. - - - 419  
 Chauncey vs. Arnold.—Sealed in-  
 strument executed in blank—Pa-  
 role authority to fill up such blank  
 in such instrument of a qualified  
 validity only—Papers executed in  
 blank—Examination of English  
 and American cases in relation to  
 sealed instruments executed in  
 blank—Powers of attorney. Opin-  
 ion per ROBERTSON, J. - - 608  
 Cheesbrough vs. Taylor. — Inn-  
 keeper—Loss of guest's baggage  
 —When inn-keeper excused for  
 loss—Negligence of inn-keeper—  
 Negligence of guest—Inn-keeper  
 excused for loss of guest's bag-  
 gage by act of God, public ene-  
 mies, or guest's own negligence  
 only. Opinion per HILTON, J. - 435  
 Colyar vs. Taylor. — Bailment—  
 When mandatory responsible for  
 loss because of violation of his  
 trust—Unauthorized delivery of  
 property by mandatory to third  
 person is a conversion—Bailee  
 without hire responsible for gross  
 negligence—Gross negligence de-  
 fined. Opinion per MCKINNEY, J. 428  
 Commissioners of Knox County vs.  
 William H. Aspinwall and others.  
 Writ of mandamus is remedy to  
 compel corporation to perform  
 some required legal duty, where  
 the duty is clear, and there is no  
 other legal remedy—The Circuit  
 Court of the United States, under  
 the Judiciary Act, may issue man-

damus to compel County Commis-  
 sioners to levy special tax provid-  
 ed by Act for payment of interest  
 on county coupons. Opinion per  
 GRIER, J. - - - 347  
 Com. vs. The Bank of Commerce—  
 The general banking law of Penn-  
 sylvania, of April 16, 1850, a pe-  
 nal law, and to be construed  
 strictly—No assignment can be  
 decreed until wilful refusal to  
 comply with Act. Opinion per  
 JOHNSON, J. - - - 379  
 Commonwealth of Pennsylvania vs.  
 The President, Managers, and  
 Company for erecting a bridge  
 over the river Delaware, at or  
 near Trenton—Taxation—Bridge  
 erected by incorporated company  
 across river Delaware, by virtue  
 of joint legislation of New Jersey  
 and Pennsylvania, liable to taxa-  
 tion under Pennsylvania legisla-  
 tion—That portion of property  
 within the jurisdiction of Pennsyl-  
 vania, alone liable to taxation in  
 Pennsylvania—When one-half of  
 capital stock alone may be taxed.  
 Opinion per PEARSON, J. - - 298  
 County of Scott, Stokes et al vs.  
 Opinion per WRIGHT, C. J. - - 458  
 Per STOCKTON, J. - - - 469  
 Per WOODWARD, J., dissenting, - 472  
 Crooker vs. Crooker.—Right of credi-  
 tors of an insolvent co-partner-  
 ship to have payment of their  
 claims out of copartnership prop-  
 erty superior to the right of credi-  
 tors of an individual member—  
 Interest of members of copartner-  
 ship in its property—Implied trust  
 that the real estate shall be applied  
 to payment of partnership debts  
 as well as personal—Exercise of  
 Chancery powers by Court to pro-  
 tect rights of all partners, and to  
 subject partnership property to  
 payment of partnership debts.  
 Opinion per MAX, J. - - - 539

## D.

Davidson et al vs. Smith.—State in-  
 solvent laws dependent upon com-  
 ity for their force beyond State  
 limits—When discharge of an in-  
 solvent may be pleaded in bar of  
 an action—Contract between par-  
 ties in same State—Judgment—  
 Contract made in one State, and

- judgment in same State, and action on judgment in United States Court for another State, and defendant afterwards discharged under insolvent laws of the State of the contract, such discharge is a good plea in bar. Opinion per MILLER, J. - - - 217
- Delaware Bridge Company, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, vs. Delaware Mutual Safety Insurance Company, Winter et al vs. - - 304
- Denny and the Exchange Bank of Pittsburg vs. Lyon.—Power of attorney executed in blank—Practice of executing powers of attorney in blank disapproved—Their validity—Pledge of collateral security—Release of endorser—Release of collateral security. Opinion per WOODWARD, J. - - 626
- Devereux and Noyes, Chapman & Co. vs. - - - 419
- Dimmick in re—Skolfield, claimant. Act of Congress entitling seamen to double wages on account of being put on short allowance of provisions—This statute is in its nature a penal law, and to entitle seamen to a recovery both conditions must concur, the vessel must have left her last port with less provisions than the act required, and the crew must have actually been put on short allowance—Such short allowance must have been during her passage, and before she arrived at her port of destination—Where crew is put on short allowance without necessity, and the case is not within the Act of Congress, there is a wrong in breach of contract, and admiralty will give a remedy—It is a term of the sailor's contract, that he shall be furnished with suitable provisions, and to refuse such a supply without necessity is a breach of such contract—Circumstances under which additional wages will be decreed by admiralty—Daily allowance to seamen—Liability of ship resulting from acts done by the Master. Opinion per WARE, D. J. - - - 224
- Dobbs in re, a minor—Habeas corpus—Enlistment of minor in the army of the United States without consent of the parent or guardian, void—Such minor may be discharged by State authorities—Phelan's case, 9 Abbott, 286, dissented from. Opinion per MURRAY HOFFMAN, J. - - - 565
- Durando, appellant, vs. Durando et al, respondents.—Dower—What is required to entitle widow to dower—What estate cannot create an estate of which the widow is dowable—Meaning of the word "purchase" in the law of realty. Opinion per SELDEN, J. - - - 630
- F.
- Felch vs. Bugbee.—Discharge under Insolvent Law of one State no bar to an action against the debtor by a citizen of another State in the courts of the latter—Contract of endorsement—Rights of endorsee under such contract—Effect of discharge of maker of note under Insolvent Laws of one State—Citizenship determines legal rights of parties—Assignment of debtor's property in one State by officers of the law under insolvent acts will not operate upon debts in another State, so as to defeat an attachment laid in the latter by one who is a citizen where the attachment is laid. Opinion per KENT, J. - - - 104
- G.
- Girard Bank vs. the Bank of Penn Township.—Holder of bank check marked "good" on footing of depositor—Statute of limitation, when it begins to run—Holder of check—Presentment for payment by holder of check—When statute of limitation begins to run against holder of check—Certified check—Bond of indemnity against certified check. Opinion per STRONG, J., - 620
- Guthrie's Appeal—Will—Words that constitute a life estate—Estate in fee—Life estate—McKee vs. McKinley; Williams vs. Leech and Naglee's Appeal, questioned—Note on the case, McKee vs. McKinley. Opinion per STRONG, J., - - 354
- H.
- Hall, United States vs. - - - 232
- Hanford vs. Paine.—The right of a State to legislate upon and pre-



- scribe formalities in the conveyance of personal property—Such formalities apply as well to property without as within the State—Transmissions of personal property regulated by the laws of the owner's domicile—Voluntary assignment for benefit of creditors, made in accordance with the law of the assignor's domicile, is effective to pass personal property wherever situated—Constitutional law—Domicil—Assignment. Opinion per REDFIELD C. J., - - - 553
- Hartshorn, Jr., et al. vs. Shoe and Leather Dealers' Insurance Company—Insurance—What constitutes an open policy—Matters material to the consummation of a contract in insurance must be adjusted and agreed between the parties before an endorsement can be made on an open policy—An open policy must specify the kind of goods insured, the vessels on board of which the goods are shipped, a stated time, and a fixed premium, and the quantity and value of the merchandise itself. Opinion per DEWEY, J., - - - 184
- Hixon, Norton, et al. vs. - - - 311
- Holland, Treasurer, &c., Williams, vs. - - - 701
- Horne vs. Anglo-Australian Insurance Company.—Life insurance—When commission of suicide does not avoid the policy. Opinion per Vice Chancellor Wood, - - - 496
- Hutmacher vs. The Administrators of Harris—Sale—Knowledge of purchaser and of seller—Title to treasure contained in block of wood sold at administrator's sale, both seller and buyer being without knowledge of the contents of the block sold. Opinion per WOODWARD, J., - - - 410
- the testator—Words that import an indefinite failure of issue—Rush vs. Lewis, and Kuhn vs. Newman, commented on—When conveyance will be decreed in accordance with chancery practice. Opinion per STRONG, J., - - - 285
- Keene vs. Wheatley & Clark—Copyright—Unprinted play—Measures to secure copyright in an unprinted drama—Adaptation for dramatic representation of a play written in England, purchased by the manager of an American theatre and intended to be here publicly represented—Written and unwritten additions to a drama—Author a non-resident alien—Citizenship under the United States' Acts—General equitable jurisdiction—Assignment by foreign author *at law* inoperative here, but sustained *in equity*—What amounts to a literary or dramatic publication—Foundation on which remedies for infringement of rights in literary property, rests—Unwritten additions to a dramatic performance may be the subject of literary property, and a communication of such to a professional rival is a breach of confidence, and equity will not permit it to the prejudice of the true owner—The doctrines of equity applied as well to the accessions to the play as to the parts furnished by the original author—Amendments in equity pleadings—Bill—Answer—Plea—Amendments after answer and replication do not open the pleadings unrestrictedly. Opinion per CADWALADER, J., - - - 33
- Kendall, Smith, vs. - - - 754
- Knickerbocker Stage Company, Raskin vs. - - - 696
- Kockersperger, United States vs. - 145

## K.

Kay vs. Scates—Will—Intention of testator—Trust—Cestui que trust—When legal seisin remains in trustees—When the use is executed—Trust for the sole and separate use of a femme sole—Special trust—When trust may be postponed until after cestui que trust attains majority—Word "issue" in a will is word of limitation, but may be controlled by intention in

## L.

La Crosse and Milwaukee Railroad Co., Bronson and others vs. - 350

Levicks, Barret & Kuen vs. Walker—Facts which Courts will not recognize—Stipulation in contract by debtor that property shall be sold without appraisement in event of non-payment of note, not recognized by Court in decree rendered upon such contract. Opinion per MERRICK, C. J., - - - 112

Lindenmuller, plaintiff in error, vs. The People, defendants in error—Constitutionality of the Sunday law—The Christian religion acknowledged by the usage of the community, is entitled to protection from the law—Christianity a part of the common law—The Sabbath a civil and political institution, subject to regulation by the civil government—Sunday theatres declared nuisances, and indicted by legislation, such legislation held to be constitutional. Opinion per ALLEN, J., - - 591  
 Lippincott, Richman vs. - - 369  
 Logan, Sanders vs. - - 475  
 Lyon, Denny and the Exchange Bank of Pittsburgh vs. - - 626

## M.

Merchants' Bank, Atlantic Bank vs. 241  
 Merriman ex parte—Habeas corpus—Power to suspend writ of habeas corpus under Constitution of the United States resides in Congress only—14 Sec. of Jud. Act of 1789 discussed and interpreted—History of writ of habeas corpus in this country and in England. Opinion per TANEY, CH. J., - 524  
 Miller vs. Ripka.—Constitutional law—Pennsylvania stay law of May 21, 1861, pronounced unconstitutional in the provision that directs the Court to order that no execution shall issue except at the periods when and in the proportions which appear by a report of the Prothonotary. Opinion per SHARSWOOD, P. J., - - 561  
 Milwaukee and Superior Railroad Co., Smith vs. - - 655  
 Moore, Den ex Dem, Roberts and wife vs. - - 25  
 McDonald in re.—Jurisdiction of a United States District Judge, or a United States District Court to issue writ of habeas corpus, when prisoner is under illegal restraint without technical commitment—Writ of habeas corpus may issue by Federal Judge whenever prisoner is illegally restrained of his liberty by Federal authority, and such case is exclusively within the jurisdiction of the Federal tribunals—The question of jurisdiction is to be determined by the acts of Congress and the decisions

of Federal tribunals—Construction and interpretation of acts of Congress of 1789 and 1833—History of the habeas corpus under the Federal legislation—The adjudicated cases cited and commented on. Opinion per TREAT, J., 661

## N.

Norton et al. vs. Hixon—Attachment—Sheriff—When sheriff will be held responsible for all the parties interested for earnings of vessel under attachment, which he has allowed to go into the hands of a third party—Chancery jurisdiction—Trust funds. Opinion per BREESE, J., - - 311

## P.

Paine, Hanford vs. - - 553  
 Pennsylvania steam towing and Transportation company, Ashmore & Leland vs. Opinion per WELPLEY, J., - - 721  
 Per VANDYKE, J., - - 726  
 People, Lindenmuller vs. - - 591  
 Philadelphia Association for the Relief of Disabled Firemen vs. Wood—Constitutional law—Pennsylvania act of May 7th, 1857—Taxation of agencies of foreign insurance companies—When tax will be unconstitutional—What constitutes a tax. Opinion per LOWRIE, C. J., - - 482

## R.

Rasquin vs. the Knickerbocker Stage company—Private settlement between parties to prevent attorney obtaining costs not recognized by court—Settlement by parties—When counsel may collect costs notwithstanding settlement by parties. Opinion per DALY, F. J., - - 696  
 Richman vs. Lippincott—An estate of freehold in possession necessary to bar an estate-tail by common recovery—Tenant to the præcipe—Deed to lead the uses—Presumption of surrender after lapse of 40 years. Opinion per HAINES, J., - - 369  
 Ripka, Miller vs. - - 561  
 Den ex dem, Roberts and Wife vs. Moore—Ejectment—Statutes of

limitation when they begin to run, continue so to do over subsequent disabilities—The New Jersey 20 years' act—The case of Den d. Clark vs. Richards, 3 Green, N. J., 347, approved—What constitutes adverse possession—Tenants in common—Common property, and common enjoyment of it—Possession by an agent or manager is actual possession within the meaning of the New Jersey 30 years' act—Adverse possession against co-tenant. Opinion per GRIER, J., - 25

## S.

Sanders vs. Logan—Patent—Equity—Jurisdiction under the patent laws—Where the measure of "actual damage" is the price or value of a license to sell the patent—Injunction is a remedy to be used only for prevention and protection, and not to enforce payment of money nor for extortion—When a court of law may treble a verdict for actual damage—Construction of the seventh section of the patent act of 1839—What amounts to an abandonment to the public—When abandonment may take place. Opinion per GRIER, J., - - - - 475  
 Scates, Kay vs. - - - - 285  
 Shoe and Leather Dealers' Insurance co., Hartshorn, Jr. et al. vs. - 184  
 Skolfield claimant—In re Dimmick, 224  
 Smith, Davidson et al. vs. - - 217  
 Smith and others vs. Kendall—Negotiable promissory note—What constitutes a negotiable promissory note. Opinion per MAN-  
 NING, J., - - - - 754  
 Smith vs. Milwaukee and Superior Railroad Company, the City of Milwaukee, and John H. Tesch, Treasurer—Extent of municipal authority to issue bonds in aid of railroad companies—Legislation does not extend to companies incorporated after the passage of the act—Issue of city bonds in aid of railroad company without authority of law—Lien creditor for valuable consideration—Claim of share of proceeds of mortgaged premises—Collateral securities—Judgment creditor—Bill in Equity Opinion per MILLER, J., - - 655

Snyder, Thomas vs. - - - - 698  
 Starr, Weswell vs. - - - - 439  
 Stokes et al. vs. The County of Scott—County bonds—Railroads in Iowa, counties have no power to borrow money or subscribe stock to construct railroads—Issue of such bonds may be restrained by injunction—The power and liabilities of counties relating to bonds issued for such purposes, considered and discussed. Opinion per WRIGHT, C. J., - - 458  
 Per STOCKTON, J., - - - 469  
 Per WOODWARD, J., dissenting, - 472

## T.

Taylor, Cheesborough vs. - - 435  
 Taylor, Colyar vs. - - - - 428  
 Thomas vs. Snyder—Bill of lading—Effect of marginal memorandum in bill of lading—Duty of assignee of freight mentioned in bill of lading to be at hand, or appoint some one to receive the freight, at the time and place of its payment. Opinion per WOOD-  
 WARD, J., - - - - 698  
 Todd, Brady vs. - - - - 492

## U.

United States vs. Hall—Act of congress of 3d of March, 1825—Passenger in railroad car or steamboat, passing over a post-road, and carrying letters without the knowledge of the owner of such car or boat, such owner does not incur the penalty under the act—Neither is the penalty incurred by the person who sends such letters—But if a person be openly engaged in carrying private letters over the post-roads of the United States, and a railroad or steamboat company assist him in so doing, and are notified by the post-office department, they will be liable to the penalty for conveying such agents carrying letters—And the company being liable the person employing such agents becomes liable. Opinion per RANDALL, J., - - - 232  
 United States vs. Kochersperger—Post-offices—Post-roads—Private express for conveyance of letters—Private letter-carriers on mail-routes—Acts of congress, 2d

March, 1827, 3d March, 1845—  
Meaning of the language used in  
act of 3d March, 1851, section 10,  
authorizing Postmaster-General  
“to establish post-routes within  
the cities or towns”—Post-routes  
not synonymous with post-roads.  
Order of Postmaster-General es-  
tablishing the streets of a city as  
post-roads under the act of 1827,  
does not make the business of  
private letter-carriers within the  
postal districts of the city, unlaw-  
ful. Opinion per CADWALADER,  
J., - - - - - 145

## W.

Walker—Levicks, Barret & Kuen,  
vs. - - - - - 112

Ward et al vs. Chamberlain et al.—  
Admiralty—Decrees in admiralty  
in Courts of the United States can  
only be enforced in the mode and  
by the process ordained for their  
execution, by acts of congress and  
rules of court—Character and ef-  
fect of such decrees in admiralty  
—Libellant may have attachment  
or capias against defendant, or a  
fi. fa. against his personalty, but  
the court has no power to issue  
execution against lands on a de-  
cree in admiralty for payment of  
money—The Supreme Court of  
the United States has power to  
regulate the practice of courts of  
admiralty—Admiralty decree no  
lien on land, either in England or  
this country—Equity—Power of  
equity to grant discovery and  
general relief. Opinion per WIL-  
son, J., - - - - - 171

Ward vs. Warner—The general na-  
ture of the action of assumpsit dis-  
cussed—Private way—Distinction  
between public and private way  
—No action of assumpsit by one  
owner of a private way can be

maintained against another, with-  
out notice, who is using such way  
under a claim of joint ownership,  
and a common right by reason of  
joint contribution in the construc-  
tion of the way—When the law  
implies a promise—Adverse entry  
is a trespass, from which no con-  
tract can arise or be inferred.

Opinion per MARTIN, C. J., - 114  
Per MANNING, J., - - - 123

Warner, Ward vs. - - - 114, 123  
Wheatley & Clark, Keene vs. - 33

Williams vs. Holland, Treasurer of  
the American Express Company  
—Common carrier—When liabi-  
lity of common carrier is dis-  
charged, and the duties and li-  
abilities of a warehouseman are  
substituted for those of a carrier  
—Warehouseman not liable for  
property taken feloniously from  
warehouse. Opinion per DALY,  
F. J., - - - - - 701

Winter et al. vs. Delaware Mutual  
Safety Insurance Company—Aban-  
donment—insurance—Underwri-  
ter—Peril within the meaning of  
the policy—Total loss of ship,  
freight, and cargo—Right to  
abandon, question of fact for jury.  
Propriety of sale at port of dis-  
tress, question of fact for a jury  
—When master is agent for un-  
derwriters—Memorandum articles  
—Partial loss—Total loss—Con-  
structive loss. Opinion per THOMP-  
son, J., - - - - - 304

Wiswell vs. Starr—Liability of stock-  
holders of banks—Application for  
receiver—Mode of contribution  
among stockholders in proportion  
to number of shares held—When  
injunction will be made perpetual  
against bank—Expiration of bank  
charter—amendment of bill. Opin-  
ion per CUTTING, J., - - - 439

Wood, Philadelphia Association for  
the Relief of Disabled Firemen vs. 482